

Evolution of the resonance and incommensurate spin fluctuations in superconducting $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{6+x}$

Pengcheng Dai,^{1,*} H. A. Mook,¹ R. D. Hunt,² and F. Doğan³

¹*Solid State Division, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, Tennessee 37831-6393*

²*Chemical Technology Division, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, Tennessee 37831-6221*

³*Department of Materials Science and Engineering,
University of Washington, Seattle, Washington 98195*

(Dated: February 1, 2008)

Polarized and unpolarized neutron triple-axis spectrometry was used to study the dynamical magnetic susceptibility $\chi''(\mathbf{q}, \omega)$ as a function of energy ($\hbar\omega$) and wave vector ($\mathbf{q}$) in a wide temperature range for the bilayer superconductor $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{6+x}$ with oxygen concentrations, x , of 0.45, 0.5, 0.6, 0.7, 0.8, 0.93, and 0.95. The most prominent features in the magnetic spectra include a spin gap in the superconducting state, a pseudogap in the normal state, the much-discussed resonance, and incommensurate spin fluctuations below the resonance. We establish the doping dependence of the spin gap in the superconducting state, the resonance energy, and the incommensurability of the spin fluctuations. The magnitude of the spin gap (E_{sg}) up to the optimal doping is proportional to the superconducting transition temperature T_c with $E_{sg}/k_B T_c = 3.8$. The resonance, which exists exclusively below T_c for highly doped $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{6+x}$ with $x = 0.93$ and 0.95, appears above T_c for underdoped compounds with $x \leq 0.8$. The resonance energy (E_r) also scales with $k_B T_c$, but saturates at $E_r \approx 40$ meV for x close to 0.93. The incommensurate spin fluctuations at energies below the resonance have structures similar to that of the single-layer superconducting $\text{La}_{2-x}\text{Sr}_x\text{CuO}_4$. However, there are also important differences. While the incommensurability (δ) of the spin fluctuations in $\text{La}_{2-x}\text{Sr}_x\text{CuO}_4$ is proportional to T_c for the entire hole-doping range up to the optimal value, the incommensurability in $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{6+x}$ increases with T_c for low oxygen doping and saturates to $\delta = 0.1$ for $x \geq 0.6$. In addition, the incommensurability decreases with increasing energy close to the resonance. Finally, the incommensurate spin fluctuations appear above T_c in underdoped compounds with $x \leq 0.6$ but for highly doped materials they are only observed below T_c . We discuss in detail the procedure used for separating the magnetic scattering from phonon and other spurious effects. In the comparison of our experimental results with various microscopic theoretical models, particular emphasis was made to address the similarities and differences in the spin fluctuations of the two most studied superconductors. Finally, we briefly mention recent magnetic field dependent studies of the spin fluctuations and discuss their relevance in understanding the microscopic origin of the resonance.

I. INTRODUCTION

The parent compounds of the high-transition-temperature (high- T_c) copper-oxide superconductors are antiferromagnetic (AF) insulators characterized by a simple doubling of the crystallographic unit cell in the CuO_2 planes [1, 2]. When holes are doped into these planes, the long-range AF-ordered phase is destroyed and the lamellar copper-oxide materials become metallic and superconducting. This raises the fundamental question of the role of the magnetism in the superconductivity of these materials. Over the past decade, experimental probes such as nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR), muon spin resonance (μSR), and neutron scattering have been used to address this fundamental question. In particular, neutron scattering experiments have unambiguously shown the presence of short-range AF spin correlations (fluctuations) in cuprate superconductors such as $\text{La}_{2-x}\text{Sr}_x\text{CuO}_4$ [3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11] and $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{6+x}$ [12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27] at all

doping levels, x . Even so, the role of such fluctuations in the pairing mechanism and superconductivity is still a subject of controversy [28].

If spin fluctuations are important for the mechanism of high- T_c superconductivity, they should be universal for all copper oxide systems. For the La_2CuO_4 family of materials, which has a single CuO_2 layer per unit cell, superconductivity can be induced by the substitution of Sr, Ba, or Ca for La or by intercalation of excess oxygen. The low-energy spin fluctuations have been observed at a quartet of incommensurate wave vectors (Fig. 1) away from the AF Bragg positions, often referred to as $q_0 = (\pi, \pi)$, in the $x = 0$ compounds [11]. These modulated low-frequency spin fluctuations persist in both the normal and superconducting states with a suppression of their intensity below T_c [9, 10]. As a function of the doping, the incommensurability δ increases linearly with x until saturating at $\delta \simeq 1/8$ for $x \geq 1/8$ [5, 8, 29]. Furthermore, the incommensurability depends only on the doping level and the unbound charge introduced by the dopants, and not on the method for introducing the charge [11]. As a function of increasing frequency, the incommensurability does not change [6], and no particularly sharp features have been identified [11].

*Electronic address: daip@ornl.gov

FIG. 1: The reciprocal space diagram and scattering geometry used in the experiments. Note that the scan direction for most of the measurements is along $[h, 0.5, l]$. The structure of the incommensurate spin fluctuations is very similar to that of $\text{La}_{2-x}\text{Sr}_x\text{CuO}_4$ as shown by Mook *et al.* [24]. In this article, we define the separation between the incommensurate peaks as 2δ as shown in the figure. The shaded ellipse shows that the narrow part of the resolution volume is along the scan direction.

For $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{6+x}$, which has two CuO_2 planes per unit cell (bilayer), the situation is more complex. Early measurements [12, 14, 16, 17, 18] have shown that the most prominent feature in the spin fluctuations spectra in the highly doped $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{6+x}$ ($x \geq 0.9$) is a sharp peak which appears below T_c at an energy of ~ 41 meV. When scanned at fixed energy as a function of wave vector, the sharp peak is centered at (π, π) and generally referred to as a resonance. For underdoped $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{6+x}$, the resonance also peaks at (π, π) but with a reduced energy [19, 20, 21]. In $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{6.6}$, it occurs at 34 meV and is superposed on a continuum which is gapped at low energies [27]. Below the resonance frequency, the continuum is actually strongest at a quartet of incommensurate positions consistent with those in $\text{La}_{2-x}\text{Sr}_x\text{CuO}_4$ of the same hole doping (Fig. 1) [22, 23, 24]. This discovery along with similar observations in $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{6.7}$ [25] suggests that incommensurate spin fluctuations may be universal to all high- T_c superconductors.

In this article, we report systematic unpolarized and polarized inelastic neutron scattering studies of spin fluctuations in $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{6+x}$. By carefully separating the magnetic signal from phonons and other spurious effects, we determine the wave vector and energy dependence of the spin dynamical susceptibility, $\chi''(\mathbf{q}, \omega)$, as a function of temperature and doping concentration. For $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{6+x}$ with oxygen concentrations at all doping levels addressed in this article, we observe a spin gap in the superconducting state, a commensurate resonance,

and incommensurate spin fluctuations at energies below the resonance. The magnitude of the spin gap in the superconducting state (E_{sg}) is proportional to $k_B T_c$ with $E_{sg}/k_B T_c = 3.8$. The resonance appears above T_c for underdoped compounds but occurs exclusively below T_c for optimal and overdoped materials. The incommensurability of the excitations below the resonance decreases with increasing energy until merging into the resonance. For $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{6+x}$ with $x \leq 0.6$, the incommensurability is approximately proportional to T_c . For oxygen concentrations x above 0.6, the incommensurability is independent of hole-doping and saturates at $\delta = 1/10$. In the case of $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{6.8}$, the commensurate low-frequency normal state magnetic excitations centered around (π, π) are suppressed with the opening of a large spin gap in the superconducting state. For $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{6.93}$ and $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{6.95}$, the normal state spin fluctuations are below the detection limit of current triple-axis spectroscopy, and the magnetic fluctuations in the superconducting state are dominated by the well-discussed resonance at ~ 40 meV [12, 14, 16, 17, 18] and incommensurate spin fluctuations below the resonance.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. The next section describes the experimental procedures, including details of sample characterization and spectrometer setup. In Sec. IIIA, polarized and unpolarized neutron scattering data for $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{6+x}$ with oxygen concentrations, x , of $\sim 0.45, 0.5, 0.6, 0.7, 0.8, 0.93$, and 0.95 are presented with a detailed description of the procedures used to extract magnetic scattering. In Sec. IIIB, a comparison of the results with those for $\text{La}_{2-x}\text{Sr}_x\text{CuO}_4$ is presented. The issues of the doping dependence of the incommensurability, the spin gap in the superconducting state, the resonance in the normal state of underdoped materials, and resonance energy are addressed. Finally, the results presented in this paper and recent magnetic field dependence measurements of the spin fluctuations are discussed with the predictions and consequences of various theoretical models. Section IV gives a brief summary of the major conclusions of this work.

II. EXPERIMENTAL DETAILS

A. Sample characterization

The experiments were performed on large twined crystals of $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{6+x}$ that were prepared by the melt-grown technique at the University of Washington. As emphasized in previous publications [14, 19], these samples contain considerable amounts of Y_2BaCuO_5 [30] as an impurity. The structural and superconducting properties of these melt-grown $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{6+x}$ samples with different Y_2BaCuO_5 concentrations have been studied in detail by Gopalan *et al.* [31]. These authors found that the $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{6+x}$ platelet width and crack width decrease with increasing Y_2BaCuO_5 content. The reduced average $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{6+x}$ grain width may facilitate the forma-

tion of equilibrium crystal structures at various oxygen concentrations. In a recent study of oxygen equilibration and chemical diffusion in massive $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{6+x}$ crystals, Lindemer [32] found that melt-grown samples containing a significant amount of Y_2BaCuO_5 reached their equilibrium values of oxygen concentration in a dynamic flow of oxygen considerably faster than samples without this impurity. These results are consistent with the notion that oxygen invariant Y_2BaCuO_5 , micro-cracks, and the boundaries between twin grains are important in providing oxygen pathways through these large samples of $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{6+x}$.

For nonstoichiometric pure phase $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{6+x}$, Lindemer and coworkers [33] have established the thermodynamic equilibrium phase diagram of oxygen concentration versus temperature and oxygen pressure (T - p). We oxygenate $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{6+x}$ in a vacuum thermogravimetric apparatus (TGA) by annealing to the equilibrium weight (± 0.1 mg), equivalent to a sensitivity in $6+x$ of 0.0003 per mol, at T - $p[\text{O}_2]$ conditions for the desired oxygen doping following previously established models [33]. Instead of quenching the sample after annealing, the partial pressure of oxygen in the TGA was adjusted during the cooling process to maintain a constant sample mass, thus ensuring uniformity in oxygen content and an equilibrium crystal structure. Seven single crystals of $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{6+x}$ were oxygenated in this way to obtain x of 0.45, 0.5, 0.6, 0.7, 0.8, 0.93, and 0.95.

The superconducting properties of these $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{6+x}$ crystals were characterized by a SQUID magnetometer. Susceptibility measurements made on small pieces cut from these samples show superconducting transition temperatures of $\sim 48 \pm 6$ K, 52 ± 3 K, 62.7 ± 2.7 K, 74 ± 2.7 K, 82 ± 1.25 K, and 92.5 ± 0.5 K, for $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{6.45}$, $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{6.5}$, $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{6.6}$, $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{6.7}$, $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{6.8}$, and $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{6.93}$, respectively. The transition temperature for $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{6.95}$ of 92 K is estimated from the temperature dependence of the resonance [14, 27]. Although the widths of superconducting transition temperatures for $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{6+x}$ with $x \geq 0.6$ are usually sharp (< 3 K), the transition widths for lower doping samples are considerably broader. This appears to be the intrinsic property of the underdoped $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{6+x}$ [33].

To quantitatively determine the effectiveness of this oxygenation technique and the composition of the melt-grown crystal, two pieces were cut from a 96-g sample of $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{6.93}$. Sample *A* weighed approximately 15-g and was crushed into fine powder. Sample *B* weighed 14.98-g. Powder diffraction measurements were performed on sample *A* at room temperature using the HB-4 high-resolution powder diffractometer with an incident beam wavelength of 1.0314 Å at the High-Flux Isotope Reactor (HFIR) at Oak Ridge National Laboratory [34]. Rietveld analysis of the powder data ($R_{wp} = 6.55\%$, $\chi^2 = 1.447$) revealed that the sample contains 83.6 mol% $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{6+x}$ with the partial oxygen occupancies $[\text{O}(1)]$ at 0.96 ± 3 and 16.4 mol% Y_2BaCuO_5 . The

lattice parameters for the $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{6.93}$ [$a = 3.8188(2)$ Å, $b = 3.8856(2)$ Å, and $c = 11.6920(5)$ Å] are slightly different from that of the $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{6.93}$ sample reported by Jorgensen *et al.* [35], but they agree well with those of the $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{6+x}$ ($0.93 \leq x < 1$) crystals of Altendorf *et al.* [36].

To further verify the partial oxygen content, sample *B* was subjected to independent thermogravimetric analysis. The 14.98-g specimen (*B*) was subjected to the deoxygenation study in the TGA. The weight change of *B* relative to the room-temperature weight was measured after equilibration at 573 K and at successively higher temperatures. The results were converted to $6+x$ values and compared to the expected behavior [33]. This analysis demonstrated that the initial oxygen content of *B* was 6.93 ± 0.005 , thus confirming the effectiveness of our oxygenation technique.

In an unpublished work, Chakoumakos and Lindemer [37] have systematically studied the lattice parameters of the powder $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{6+x}$ oxygenated using this technique. They performed Rietveld analysis of the powder neutron diffraction data obtained on HB-4 for a series $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{6+x}$ samples and established the relationship between oxygen concentration and lattice parameters (Fig. 2). High resolution measurements on the single crystals oxygenated in our study indicated that the lattice parameters have virtually the same value as powders. As a consequence, we used the lattice parameters established in their powder work for our single-crystal inelastic neutron scattering measurements.

B. Neutron scattering

Inelastic neutron scattering measurements were made at HFIR using the HB-1 and HB-3 triple-axis spectrometers. The momentum transfer (q_x, q_y, q_z) is measured in units of Å⁻¹ and reciprocal space positions are specified in reciprocal lattice units (rlu) (h, k, l) = ($q_x a / 2\pi, q_y b / 2\pi, q_z c / 2\pi$), where a, b ($\approx a$), and c are the lattice parameters of the orthorhombic unit cell of $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{6+x}$ (see Figs. 1 and 2). The magnetic neutron scattering directly measures the imaginary part of the generalized spin susceptibility $\chi''(\mathbf{q}, \omega)$ for momentum transfer $\mathbf{q} = \mathbf{k}_i - \mathbf{k}_f$ and energy transfer $\hbar\omega$, where $\mathbf{k}_i$ and $\mathbf{k}_f$ are the incident and final neutron wave vectors, respectively. The scattering cross-section for an isotropic system [38] is

$$\frac{d^2\sigma}{d\Omega dE} = \frac{2}{\pi g^2} \frac{k_f}{k_i} r_0^2 |F(\mathbf{q})|^2 \frac{1}{1 - \exp(-\hbar\omega/k_B T)} \chi''(\mathbf{q}, \omega) / \mu_B^2 \quad (1)$$

where g is the Lande factor (≈ 2), r_0 is 5.4×10^{-13} cm, $|F(\mathbf{q})|$ is the magnetic form factor, $[n(\omega) + 1] = 1/[1 - \exp(-\hbar\omega/k_B T)]$ is the Bose population factor, and μ_B is the Bohr magneton. The major difficulty in studying spin fluctuations in high- T_c materials is to separate the magnetic scattering from (single- and multi-

FIG. 2: Lattice parameters of $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{6+x}$ as a function of oxygen concentration x from Chakoumakos and Lindemer [37]. The results are obtained using a high-resolution powder diffractometer on powder samples oxygenated in the same way as the single crystals in this work. The vertical error bars are smaller than the size of the symbols.

phonon scattering and other spurious processes. While many spurious events such as accidental Bragg scattering can be identified by performing the desired inelastic scan in the two-axis mode [13], two approaches are used to separate magnetic from phonon scattering.

We first describe the use of neutron polarization analysis [39] which, in principle, can unambiguously separate magnetic from non magnetic processes. For the polarized experimental setup, a crystal of $^{57}\text{Fe}(\text{Si})$ [$d(110) = 2.0202 \text{ \AA}$] and crystals of Heusler alloy Cu_2MnAl [$d(111) = 3.4641 \text{ \AA}$] were used as a monochromator and analyzer, respectively. The polarization state of the neutron beam can be changed by a spin-flipping device (Mezei coil) that is placed in the incident beam *before* the sample. The combination of a $^{57}\text{Fe}(\text{Si})$ (110) monochromator and a Heusler (111) analyzer permits spin-flip (SF) measurements without using the Mezei coil because the polarization of the neutrons scattered by the $^{57}\text{Fe}(\text{Si})$ is along the applied field direction while it is opposite for the Heusler crystal. For the measurements, the final neutron energy was fixed at 30.5 meV, and a pyrolytic graphite (PG) filter was used before the analyzer. The polarization is usually determined by measuring the flipping ratio R , defined as the ratio of neutrons reflected by the analyzer with the flipper (Mezei coil) “on” [which corresponds to

neutron nonspin flip (NSF), or $++$] and “off” [neutron spin-flip (SF) or $+-$]. Assuming the flipping ratios for guide field parallel (horizontal field or HF) and perpendicular (vertical field or VF) to the wave vector $\mathbf{q}$ are R_H and R_V , respectively, the observed neutron cross sections for a paramagnetic system are given by [39, 40]:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{HF : } \sigma_{ob}^{+-} &= \frac{R_H}{R_H + 1} \left(M + \frac{2}{3} NSI + BG \right) + \\
 &\quad \frac{1}{R_H + 1} \left(N + \frac{1}{3} NSI + BG \right) \\
 \sigma_{ob}^{++} &= \frac{R_H}{R_H + 1} \left(N + \frac{1}{3} NSI + BG \right) + \\
 &\quad \frac{1}{R_H + 1} \left(M + \frac{2}{3} NSI + BG \right) \\
 \text{VF : } \sigma_{ob}^{+-} &= \frac{R_V}{R_V + 1} \left(\frac{1}{2} M + \frac{2}{3} NSI + BG \right) + \\
 &\quad \frac{1}{R_V + 1} \left(N + \frac{1}{2} M + \frac{1}{3} NSI + BG \right) \\
 \sigma_{ob}^{++} &= \frac{R_V}{R_V + 1} \left(\frac{1}{2} M + N + \frac{1}{3} NSI + BG \right) + \\
 &\quad \frac{1}{R_V + 1} \left(\frac{1}{2} M + \frac{2}{3} NSI + BG \right) \quad (2)
 \end{aligned}$$

where M is the magnetic, N the nuclear, NSI the nuclear spin incoherent neutron cross section, and BG is the background. Inspection of Eq. [2.2] reveals that the most effective way to detect a magnetic signal is to measure SF scattering with HF. Although such method has been successfully used to identify the magnetic origin of resonance peaks in $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{6+x}$ [14, 18, 19, 20], the advantage of the technique comes at a considerable cost in intensity which makes it impractical for observing small magnetic signals.

As a consequence, most of our experiments were performed with unpolarized neutrons with Be (002) or PG (002) as monochromators as specified in the figure captions. In these experiments, we also used PG(002) as analyzers. Fast neutrons were removed from the incident beam by an in-pile sapphire filter, and PG filters were used to remove high-order neutron contaminations where appropriate. The horizontal collimations were controlled with Soller slits and are specified in the figures containing the experimental data. To separate the magnetic from phonon scattering, we utilize the differences in their temperature and wave vector dependence of the cross sections. While the phonon scattering gains intensity on warming due to the thermal population factor, the magnetic signal usually becomes weaker because it spreads throughout the energy and momentum space at high temperatures. In addition, magnetic intensity should decrease at large wave vectors due to the reduction in the magnetic form factor while phonon and multi-phonon scattering are expected to increase with increasing wave vector. Therefore, in an unpolarized neutron scattering measurement the net intensity gain above the multi-phonon background on cooling at appropriate wave

vectors is likely to be magnetic in origin. Previous experiments have utilized this method to discover incommensurate spin fluctuations in $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{6.6}$ [22].

Figure 1 depicts the reciprocal lattice of the $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{6+x}$ $\mathbf{a}^*$ ($= 2\pi/a$), $\mathbf{b}^*$ ($= 2\pi/b$) directions shown in square lattice notation. Our crystals are all highly twinned so we cannot distinguish $\mathbf{a}^*$ from $\mathbf{b}^*$. Because the CuO_2 planes in $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{6+x}$ actually appear in coupled bilayers that are separated by a much larger distance between the bilayer, spin fluctuations that are in-phase (acoustic or χ''_{ac}) or out-of-phase (optical or χ''_{op}) with respect to the neighboring plane will have different spectra [12, 13, 14]. It is therefore convenient to separate the magnetic response of $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{6+x}$ into two parts $\chi''(q_x, q_y, q_z, \omega) = \chi''_{ac}(q_x, q_y, \omega) \sin^2(q_z d/2) + \chi''_{op}(q_x, q_y, \omega) \cos^2(q_z d/2)$, where d (3.342 Å) is the spacing between the nearest-neighbor CuO_2 planes along c . For the AF insulating parent compound $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_6$, χ''_{ac} and χ''_{op} correspond to the acoustic and optical spin-wave excitations, respectively [41, 42]. Because the interesting features such as the resonance and incommensurate fluctuations [12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27] are only observed in the acoustic channel of spin susceptibility $\chi''_{ac}(q_x, q_y, \omega)$ and the optical fluctuations occur at higher energies than the acoustic excitations, we focused on the temperature, frequency, and composition dependence of spin excitations in the acoustic mode which has a modulation $\sin^2(q_z d/2)$ along the c -axis.

For this purpose, we used several crystal orientations and scattering geometries. Previous work by different groups [12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27] were focused on the behavior of magnetic excitations for $\mathbf{q}$ in the (h, h, l) and/or $(h, 3h, l)$ zone. In view of the fact that the low frequency spin fluctuations in $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{6+x}$ peak at a quartet of incommensurate positions δ rlu away from $(1/2, 1/2)$, (π, π) , as depicted in Fig. 1 [24], we scanned along the arrow direction in Fig. 1 from $(0, 1/2)$ to $(1, 1/2)$ with fixed l . To perform these scans, we first aligned the crystal with its $[1, 0, 0]$ and $[0, 0, 1]$ axes along the rotational axes of the lower (α -axis) and upper (χ -axis) arcs of the spectrometer goniometer, respectively. The crystal was then rotated $\alpha = \arctan(c/2al)$ degrees such that after the rotation the $[1/2, 0, 0]$ and $[0, 1/2, l]$ axes were in the horizontal scattering plane. To access the maxima of acoustic spin fluctuations, we chose $l \approx 2$ or 5 rlu so the ω rotation angle would be approximately 37 or 17 degrees, respectively. One advantage of performing scans in this manner is that the scan direction is along the direction of incommensurate peaks and the narrow part of the resolution ellipse. As shown in Fig. 1, the narrow part of the spectrometer resolution matches the separation of the incommensurate peaks. Therefore, this is a favorable geometry to study the evolution of the incommensurate magnetic excitations. In addition to the new experimental geometry, we also used the conventional $(h, 3h, l)$ zone as specified in the figure captions.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A. Wave vector and energy dependence of the acoustic spin fluctuations

We begin this section by noting that inelastic neutron scattering experiments over the last decade [12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27] have provided valuable information about the evolution of the spin dynamics in $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{6+x}$. The key features of the spin excitations spectra include a gap in the superconducting state, a pseudogap in the normal state, the resonance peak, and the incommensurate fluctuations below the resonance. The observation of remarkable similarities in spin incommensurate structure for the bilayer $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{6.6}$ [24] and the single-layer $\text{La}_{2-x}\text{Sr}_x\text{CuO}_4$ of the same hole doping density [8] suggests that incommensurate magnetic fluctuations may be a universal feature of the cuprate superconductors. For the $\text{La}_{2-x}\text{Sr}_x\text{CuO}_4$ system, Yamada and coworkers established the Sr-doping dependence of the incommensurability δ of the spin fluctuations and found a remarkable linear relationship between T_c and δ [8]. In the case of $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{6+x}$, Balatsky and Bourges [43] suggested that a similar linear relationship exists between T_c and the width in momentum space (q) of the “normal” spin fluctuations, i.e., fluctuations at frequencies away from the resonance. The authors argued that spin excitations of $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{6+x}$ have two components and the low-energy incommensurate fluctuations are different than the commensurate resonance. The conclusions of [43] were reached based mostly on data where explicit incommensurability in the low-frequency spin fluctuations was not observed. Therefore, it is still not clear whether the linear relationship between T_c and δ is a universal feature of the cuprates. Below, we present our systematic investigation of spin excitations in $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{6+x}$.

1. $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{6.45}$

As stressed in Sec. IIB, it is not a trivial matter to separate the magnetic scattering from phonon and other spurious processes in metallic crystals of $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{6+x}$. Although the use of polarized neutrons can provide an unambiguous measure of the magnetic and phonon scattering [39], the technique usually suffers from the limited flux available with polarized neutrons. Nevertheless, polarization analysis has distinctive advantages in separating the magnetic signal from phonon and other spurious processes. As an example, we show in Figure 3 the polarization analysis of the spin excitations for $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{6.45}$ at temperatures above and below T_c .

Because magnetic fluctuations in $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{6+x}$ are centered around (π, π) with a sinusoidal modulation along the $(0, 0, l)$ direction, we oriented the crystal in the $(h, 3h, l)$ zone and searched for the magnetic signal with scans along $(h, 3h, -1.7)$ which corresponds to the

FIG. 4: The unpolarized constant- $\mathbf{q}$ scans at $(0.5, 0.5, 5)$ rlu for $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{6.45}$. Data were taken with a PG(002) monochromator and PG analyzer with $40'-40'-60'-120'$ collimation and $E_f = 14.78$ meV. (a) Raw scattering at three different temperatures with phonons marked by arrows. (b) The temperature difference between 60 K ($T_c + 12$ K) and 120 K. The positive scattering around 30 meV is consistent with the precursor of the resonance in the normal state. (c) The temperature difference between 11 K ($T_c - 37$ K) and 60 K. The solid lines are Gaussian fits to the data. The resonance intensity gain at 11 K is partially compensated by the loss of the spectral weight at energies above it.

ing the magnetic nature of Gaussian peaks around (π, π) , the limited flux available with the technique means that detailed wave vector and energy dependence of the scattering need to be obtained with unpolarized neutrons. For this purpose, we realigned the sample in the $[1/2, 0, 0]$ and $[0, 1/2, l]$ zone. Figure 4 shows the constant- q scans at various temperatures at (π, π) . The spectra consist of two major peaks at ~ 19 and 31 meV. While the intensity of the ~ 19 meV mode follows the Bose population factor $[n(\omega) + 1]$ consistent with phonons, the scattering at ~ 30 meV decreases with warming which is indicative of its magnetic origin. As we shall demonstrate below, phonons are also present at ~ 31 meV. However, phonon scattering in this energy range is expected to change negligibly with temperature for $T \leq 120$ K. Therefore, the difference spectra in Fig. 4 can be regarded as changes in the dynamical susceptibility. Clearly, there are spectral weight enhancements around 30 meV with decreasing temperature above and below T_c . If we define the suscep-

the normal state, but changes to a flat top (incommensurate) profile in the low-temperature superconducting state [Fig. 5(f)].

2. $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{6.5}$, $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{6.6}$, and $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{6.7}$

In this section, we discuss results on three samples with superconducting transition temperatures of 52 K, 62.7 K, and 74 K. The fractional hole concentration per Cu atom in the CuO_2 sheet, p , for $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{6+x}$ around the 60-K plateau phase is close to ~ 0.1 [45]. For the single-layer superconducting $\text{La}_{1.9}\text{Sr}_{0.1}\text{CuO}_4$ which also has $p = 0.1$, the incommensurability of the spin fluctuations is at $\delta = 0.1$ [8]. The discovery of the same incommensurate structure and δ (≈ 0.1) for the equivalent hole concentration ($p \approx 0.1$) of the bilayer $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{6.6}$ [24] has generated considerable interests because such observation suggests that incommensurate spin fluctuations may be the common feature of these two most studied families of cuprates.

For $\text{La}_{2-x}\text{Sr}_x\text{CuO}_4$, it is generally believed that the presence of dynamic stripes is the microscopic origin of the observed incommensurate spin fluctuations [46]. Thus, if the incommensurate spin fluctuations in $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{6+x}$ have similar behavior as $\text{La}_{2-x}\text{Sr}_x\text{CuO}_4$ at all doping concentrations, it is likely that dynamic stripes should also be present in the bilayer cuprates. Although the explicit incommensurate structure [24] and the one-dimensional nature of the incommensurate spin fluctuations in $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{6.6}$ [47] favor the dynamic striped-phase interpretation, the detailed energy and doping dependence of the incommensurate spin fluctuations is still lacking. In the discussions below, we attempt to remedy this situation.

We first describe measurements on $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{6.5}$. Although the rocking curves of all other crystals of $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{6+x}$ show single Gaussian peaks with mosaic spreads of ~ 1 –2.5 degrees, the rocking curve around (0,0,6) Bragg reflection for $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{6.5}$ displays two distinct peaks separated by ~ 3 degrees. This means that the $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{6.5}$ sample is composed of two major grains ~ 3 degrees apart. Figure 6 summarizes constant- q scans at various temperatures at (π, π) for $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{6.5}$. While the ~ 20 meV phonons change negligibly from those for $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{6.45}$ (Fig. 4), there are two features in the raw spectra of Fig. 6(a) worth noting. First, the resonance energy shifted from ~ 30.5 meV for $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{6.45}$ to ~ 32 meV for $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{6.5}$. In addition, the spectral weight of the resonance relative to that of the 20 meV phonons is clearly enhanced in $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{6.5}$. This observation indicates that the intensity gain of the resonance in this material below T_c is considerably bigger than that for $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{6.45}$. Similar to $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{6.45}$, there are magnetic spectral weight enhancements around the resonance energy above and below T_c . High intensity, low-resolution measurements in the $[h, 3h, l]$ zone confirm this conclusion (Fig. 7).

FIG. 5: Constant-energy scans along the $[h, 0.5, 2.4]$ (a-c) and $[h, 0.5, 5.2]$ (d-f) directions. The energy transfers are $\hbar\omega = 6$ meV (a), 10 meV (b), 16 meV (c), 24 meV (d), 30 meV (e), and 38 meV (f). Incommensurate spin fluctuations are clearly observed at $\hbar\omega = 24$ meV both above and below T_c . In the superconducting state, the flattish top profiles are observed at energies above and below the resonance energy. Solid lines are Gaussian fits to the data in (b-f).

tibility gain on cooling above T_c at the resonance energy as the precursor of the resonance, we find that the spectral weight of the resonance increases slightly from the normal to the superconducting state [Fig. 4(b) and (c)] and is only partially compensated by the loss at energies above it [Fig. 4(c)].

Figure 5 shows the momentum dependence of the response at energies above and below the magnetic resonance. At $\hbar\omega = 6$ meV, the scattering [Fig. 5(a)] is featureless around (π, π) , suggesting the presence of a spin gap in the superconducting state [44]. On increasing the energy to 10 meV, the scattering shows a broad peak that can be well described by a single Gaussian centered at (π, π) . At $\hbar\omega = 16$ meV, the profile around (π, π) develops a flattish top similar to previous observations [13, 15]. Although detailed analysis suggests that the profile is better described by a pair of peaks rather than a single Gaussian, well defined incommensurate peaks at $\delta = 0.054 \pm 0.004$ rlu from (π, π) are only observed at $\hbar\omega = 24$ meV [Fig. 5(d)]. At the resonance energy of ~ 30 meV, commensurate profiles are observed above and below T_c [Fig. 5(e)]. For an energy above the resonance (at 38 meV), the peak appears to be commensurate in

FIG. 7: Constant- $\mathbf{q}$ scans at (0.5,1.5,-1.7) rlu above and below T_c for $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{6.5}$ with the crystal in the $[h, 3h, l]$ zone. (a) Difference scattering between 60 K ($T_c + 8$ K) and 120 K. (b) Difference scattering between 10 K ($T_c - 42$ K) and 60 K. The solid lines are Gaussian fits to the data.

magnitude of the spin gap has already been reported [27]. To establish the energy dependence of the incommensurate spin fluctuations, we carried out high-resolution measurements using Be(002) as the monochromator and fixed the final neutron energy at 14.78 meV. Figure 10 shows a series of constant-energy scans at energies below and above the 34 meV resonance. Consistent with previous results [22, 23, 24], constant-energy scans at 24 meV [Fig. 10(a)] show a pair of well-defined peaks separated by $2\delta = 0.20 \pm 0.01$ rlu in the low-temperature superconducting state and a flattish top profile just above T_c . Although the same scans at 27 meV and 30 meV also exhibit the same double peak structure below T_c [Figs. 10(b) and (c)], the separation between the peaks or the incommensurabilities clearly decrease with increasing energy. In addition, the normal state flat top profile at 24 meV is replaced by a single Gaussian peak centered around (π, π) at 30 meV. As energy increases, the scattering profile continues to sharpen, with the narrowest width and highest intensity profile occurring at the resonance energy [Fig. 10(e)]. When moving to energies above the resonance [Figs. 10(f-h)], the profiles increase in width and decrease in intensity. At 41 meV, the scattering becomes incommensurate in both the normal and superconducting states [Fig. 10(h)].

Finally, we discuss measurements for $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{6.7}$.

FIG. 9: Constant- $\mathbf{q}$ scans at (0.5,1.5,1.7) rlu above and below T_c for $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{6.6}$ with the crystal in the $[h, 3h, l]$ zone. (a) The temperature difference between 75 K ($T_c + 12$ K) and 125 K. (b) The temperature difference between 11 K ($T_c - 52$ K) and 75 K. The solid line is a Gaussian fit to the data.

is consistent with the opening of a 24 meV gap in the spin fluctuations spectra below T_c . At $\hbar\omega = 27$ meV, both the raw data [Fig. 12(b)] and the difference spectrum show evidence of incommensurate spin fluctuations at $\delta \approx 0.1$ rlu, consistent with previous measurements using an integrated technique that gives a incommensurability of $\delta = 0.11 \pm 0.01$ [23]. However, the clarity of incommensurate spin fluctuations is weak as compared to that for $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{6.6}$. Experiments on a different crystal of $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{6.7}$ by Arai *et al.* [25] show clear incommensurate spin fluctuations at $\delta = 0.11 \pm 0.01$ rlu. At energies close to the resonance ($\hbar\omega = 34$ meV and 37 meV), the scattering [Figs. 12(c) and (f)] shows conventional Gaussian profiles.

3. $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{6.8}$

Much of the discussion in this section will be focused on our analysis of separating phonon and spurious events from magnetic scattering for energies below the resonance. While the magnetic signal usually spreads throughout the energy and momentum space at high temperatures and becomes unobservable at any particular $\hbar\omega$ - Q point, the intensity of phonons should follow the Bose statistics with increasing temperature. Therefore,

FIG. 11: Constant- $\mathbf{q}$ scans at $(0.5, 0.5, 5)$ rlu above and below T_c for $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{6.7}$. (a) Raw scattering at two different temperatures with phonons and resonance marked by arrows. (b) The temperature difference between 10 K ($T_c - 64$ K) and 80 K. The positive scattering around 37 meV shows the resonance. The solid line is a Gaussian fit to the data.

energies are mostly phonons in origin. To demonstrate the enhancement of the magnetic scattering on cooling above and below T_c , we show the difference spectra between low and high temperatures in two experimental geometries in Figs. 14 and 15. The data reveal two important features: first, the resonance in this underdoped compounds occurs at ~ 40 meV, almost identical to the optimally and overdoped doped compound [12, 14, 16]; second, there is an enhancement of the magnetic signal around the resonance energy above T_c , consistent with the presence of a precursor for the resonance in the underdoped $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{6+x}$ [27].

To search for incommensurate spin fluctuations in $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{6.8}$, we scanned along the wave vector $[h, 0.5, 5]$ direction at energies below and above the resonance. Figure 16 summarizes the low-resolution raw data at temperatures just above and well below T_c . At $\hbar\omega = 16$ meV [Fig. 16(a)], the scattering shows a sloped background with minimum intensity at around (π, π) in both the normal and superconducting states. This suggests the existence of a normal state spin gap of 16 meV. On increasing the energy to $\hbar\omega = 24$ meV [Fig. 16(b)], the intensity of the broad peak around (π, π) in the normal state is somewhat reduced below T_c with no clear evidence of an incommensurate structure. A similar be-

FIG. 13: Constant- $\mathbf{q}$ scans at (0.5,0.5,5) rlu for $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{6.8}$. (a) Raw scattering at 200 K with phonons marked by arrows. (b) The same scans at 10 K and 95 K with the resonance marked by an arrow.

gap in the superconducting state. On increasing the energy to $\hbar\omega = 32$ meV, the scattering shows little change from the normal state to the superconducting state [Figs. 17(d)]. In addition, the maximum scattering intensity no longer seems to peak at (π, π) , but shows weak evidence for incommensurate scattering. Unfortunately, the poor resolution of the measurements does not allow a conclusive identification of incommensurate spin fluctuations. At $\hbar\omega = 39$ meV [Fig. 17(e)], the enhancement of resonance in Fig. 16(e) is confirmed. Finally for an energy above the resonance ($\hbar\omega = 52$ meV), the scattering shows no observable change above and below T_c [Fig. 17(f)].

Because the intensity of low energy phonons is more sensitive to the increasing temperature and the Bose population factor, the subtraction procedure described above does not work for low energy excitations. However, we can compare the difference spectra at temperatures just above and below T_c . At $\hbar\omega = 16$ meV [Fig. 17(a)], the difference spectra between 95 K ($T_c + 13$ K) and 10 K ($< T_c$) show no identifiable feature which is consistent with the presence of a normal state spin gap. Similar subtraction at $\hbar\omega = 24$ meV shows a clear peak around (π, π) [Fig. 17(b)] which indicates the suppression of 24 meV spin fluctuations in the superconducting state. Therefore, the normal state spin fluctuations in $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{6.8}$ consist of a gap (≥ 16 meV) and broad excitations centered around (π, π) . In the superconducting state, the

FIG. 15: Difference spectra in constant- $\mathbf{q}$ scans at $(0.5, 1.5, -1.8)$ rlu above and below T_c for $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{6.8}$ with the sample in the $(h, 3h, l)$ zone. (a) The temperature difference between 95 K ($T_c + 13$ K) and 150 K. The positive scattering around 40 meV again confirms the presence of the precursor for the resonance in the normal state. (b) The temperature difference between 11 K ($T_c - 71$ K) and 95 K. The solid line is a Gaussian fit to the data.

observed below T_c at $\hbar\omega = 32, 34$, and 37 meV. As in the case of $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{6.6}$, the incommensurability of these fluctuations decreases with increasing energy and becomes commensurate at the resonance energy. In addition, the incommensurability of $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{6.8}$ at $\hbar\omega \approx 34$ meV is essentially the same as in the more underdoped $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{6.6}$ at 24 meV [Fig. 10(a)]. However, the normal state spin fluctuations of $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{6.8}$ are clearly commensurate and centered around (π, π) whereas the fluctuations in $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{6.6}$ show a flattish top indicative of the incommensurability at temperatures above T_c . Therefore, the spin fluctuation spectrum in $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{6.8}$ has a gap in the normal state ($\hbar\omega \approx 16$ meV), a superconducting gap ($\hbar\omega \approx 30$ meV), a resonance at $\hbar\omega \approx 39$ meV, and commensurate-to-incommensurate transition for spin fluctuations below the resonance on entering the superconducting state.

4. $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{6.93}$ and $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{6.95}$

In the studies of ideally doped and overdoped $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{6+x}$, the important issues are the nature of

FIG. 17: Difference spectra in constant-energy scans along the $[h, 0.5, 5.0]$ direction for $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{6.8}$. The energy transfers are $\hbar\omega = 16$ meV (a), 24 meV (b), 28 meV (c), 32 meV (d), 39 meV (e), 52 meV (f). (a) suggests no change in susceptibility between the normal and superconducting states. (b) and (c) indicate that Gaussian-like normal state scattering is suppressed in the superconducting state at $\hbar\omega = 24$ and 28 meV. The situation for $\hbar\omega = 32$ meV is not clear in this low-resolution measurement although there are clear magnetic intensities in the normal and the superconducting states. The intensity gain of the resonance is seen in (e), and the magnetic scattering appears to have weak temperature dependence at 52 meV (f). The solid lines are Gaussian fits to the data.

meV is $\delta = 0.11 \pm 0.0128$ rlu, which is indistinguishable from all the other underdoped compounds with $x \geq 0.6$. The incommensurability changes to $\delta = 0.089 \pm 0.008$ and 0.084 ± 0.02 rlu for $\hbar\omega = 35.5$ meV and 37 meV, respectively. Although there are weak features in the constant-energy scans just above T_c [Figs. 23(a-d)], careful temperature dependent studies of the constant-energy profiles indicate that these features are nonmagnetic in origin [Fig. 23(e), open circles]. Thus, incommensurate fluctuations in highly doped $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{6+x}$ appear only in the low temperature superconducting state at energies close to the resonance. Furthermore, the incommensurabilities of the low energy spin fluctuations are insensitive to the doping for $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{6+x}$ with $x \geq 0.6$ and saturate at $\delta = 1/10$. In contrast, incommensurate spin fluctuations in the single-layer $\text{La}_{2-x}\text{Sr}_x\text{CuO}_4$ near optimal doping survive to temperatures well above T_c [49], and the incommensurabilities of the low energy spin fluctua-

FIG. 19: Difference spectra in high-resolution constant-energy scans along the $[h, 0.5, 5.2]$ direction above and below T_c for $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{6.8}$. The energy transfers are $\hbar\omega = 32$ meV (a) and (e), 34 meV (b) and (f), 37 meV (c) and (g), and 39 meV (d) and (h). The scattering below the 39 meV resonance changes from commensurate in the normal state to incommensurate in the superconducting state. Similar behavior have been reported by Bourges *et al.* for $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{6.85}$ [51]. The solid lines are Gaussian fits to the data.

Yamada and coworkers [8] found an excellent linear relationship between δ and the effective hole concentration up to around $p \approx 0.12$. Moreover, the incommensurability δ is energy independent for $\hbar\omega < 15$ meV [6]. The situation for $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{6+x}$ is more subtle. Using the established approximate parabolic relationship $T_c/T_{c,max} = 1 - 82.6(p - 0.16)^2$, where $T_{c,max}$ is the maximum transition temperature of the system, and p is the hole-doping [45], we can calculate the effective hole doping p of $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{6+x}$ from their T_c values. However, as shown in Figs. 5, 10, 19, and 23, the incommensurability in $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{6+x}$ decreases with increasing energy close to the resonance. As a consequence, it is difficult to compare directly the doping dependence of the incommensurability in these two families of materials as δ is not a well defined quantity for $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{6+x}$. In order to make such comparison, we chose to systematically use the δ for the incommensurate low frequency spin fluctuations furthest away in energy from the resonance.

In Figure 24, we plot the incommensurability δ obtained in such procedure as a function of p . For completeness, we also included the data of Arai *et al.* (open

FIG. 21: Difference spectra in constant- $\mathbf{q}$ scans at (0.5,0.5,5) rlu above and below T_c for $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{6.95}$. (a) The difference spectrum between 100 K ($T_c + 8$ K) and 200 K. Note that there are no observable features above the background around 40 meV in the normal state, again confirming no precursor of the resonance. (b) The temperature difference between 11 K ($T_c - 81$ K) and 100 K.

T_c and δ because these two quantities are free from any uncertainties associated with the doping level or oxygen stoichiometry. For $\text{La}_{2-x}\text{Sr}_x\text{CuO}_4$, Yamada *et al.* found a linear relationship between T_c and δ up to the optimal doping regime [8]. Using $T_c = \hbar\nu_{214}^*\delta$, Balatsky and Bourges [43] extracted a velocity $\hbar\nu_{214}^* = 20$ meVÅ. For $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{6+x}$, these authors suggested a similar linear relation between T_c and the q width in momentum space, Δq (HWHM), for the whole doping range: $T_c = \hbar\nu^*\Delta q$, with $\hbar\nu^* = 35$ meVÅ. They then claimed strong similarities in the magnetic states of $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{6+x}$ and $\text{La}_{2-x}\text{Sr}_x\text{CuO}_4$ [43]. In Fig. 25, we plot the relation between T_c and the measured incommensurability δ for $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{6+x}$ in the whole doping range. For superconducting transition temperatures below ~ 60 K, our data are consistent with the proposed linear relation between T_c and δ with $\hbar\nu^* = 36.6$ meVÅ [43]. However, the incommensurability is essentially unchanged for all samples with T_c larger than 60 K. Therefore, if there were excitations associated with such a velocity, its magnitude would approach infinity for samples with transition temperatures above 60 K.

FIG. 23: High-resolution constant-energy scans along the $[h, 0.5, 5]$ direction at various temperatures for $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{6.95}$. The energy transfers are $\hbar\omega = 34$ meV (a), 35.5 meV (b), 37 meV (c), and 40 meV (d). The enhancement of the scattering from 100 K to 9.5 K is marked by arrows. (e) The filled circles show the difference between 9.5 K ($T_c - 82.5$ K) and 100 K. The open circles are the difference between 100 K and 150 K. Therefore, while there is no discernible magnetic intensity in the normal state, clear incommensurate spin fluctuations are observed in the superconducting state. (f) and (g) show the presence of incommensurate magnetic scattering at $\hbar\omega = 35.5$ and 37 meV with the incommensurability marked by arrows. (h) shows the commensurate resonance. The solid and dashed lines are Gaussian fits to the data and guides to the eye, respectively.

limit of the BCS theory of superconductivity, where Δ is the superconducting gap. For samples with low oxygen doping and low T_c , the linear relation between T_c and E_{sg} breaks down, possibly due to the oxygen doping inhomogeneity and broad superconducting transitions in weakly-doped materials.

3. Doping dependence of the resonance and superconducting condensation energy

Among the many interesting features observed in the spin excitations spectra of $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{6+x}$, perhaps the most-discussed is the collective acoustic spin excitation named the “resonance”. First reported by Rossat-Mignod *et al.* [12] for $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{6.92}$, the resonance at ~ 40 meV in the highly doped $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{6+x}$ was shown

FIG. 25: The incommensurability δ plotted as a function of T_c . The solid line for $T_c \leq 63$ K is a fit using $T_c = \hbar\nu^*\delta$ with $\hbar\nu^* \approx 36.6$ meVÅ. For $T_c \geq 63$ K, δ saturates to 0.1 rlu thus giving $\nu^* \rightarrow \infty$.

onance occurs at a temperature T^* which almost coincides with T_c [14]. For underdoped $x = 0.8$ and 0.6 , T^* increases to temperatures well above T_c while both T_c and the resonance energy itself are reduced [27]. By empirically comparing the cross-over temperature T^* and the pseudogap temperature obtained by other techniques [53, 54, 55], we associate the initial occurrence of the resonance with the pseudogap temperature [27]. In recent published [56] and unpublished [57] papers, Bourges *et al.* [57] claimed that there is no justification for a separation of the normal state spin excitations spectrum into resonant and nonresonant parts. Although these authors agreed that the broad maximum of the spin susceptibility in the normal state occurs at the same energy as the resonance peak in some underdoped materials [27, 58], they argued that “the apparent equivalence of the normal state energy and the resonance peak energy breaks down in underdoped samples closer to optimal doping” [57].

Here we point out that the claims by Bourges *et al.* are incorrect. As can be seen from our results in Sec. IIIA1–3, there are clear enhancements in the spin susceptibility around the resonance energy at temperatures above T_c for all the underdoped compounds including $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{6.8}$. These observations are in sharp contrast to the claims of Bourges *et al.* [57]. Moreover, from the temperature dependence of the resonance intensity

FIG. 27: The minimum coherence length and dynamical q width (FWHM) of the resonance as a function of effective hole concentration, p . At present, the origin of the anomalous long coherence length of the resonance for $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{6.6}$ is unclear. The instrumental resolutions along the scan direction are ~ 0.12 rlu for the PG(002) monochromator and 0.07 rlu for the Be(002) monochromator at $\hbar\omega = 34$ meV. Slightly different values are obtained at other energies. Since the instrumental resolution is much smaller than the observed q width of the resonance shown in (b), the resonance coherence lengths in (a) are mostly intrinsic and not resolution limited.

the resonance at 34 meV while leaving other scattering relatively unaltered. Similar, but much smaller effect also occurs for the same field parallel to the CuO_2 planes. Our results thus provide further support for the intimate connection between the magnetic excitation spectrum and thermodynamics of high- T_c superconductors [27]. The persistence of a field effect above T_c is consistent with the precursor of the resonance in the normal state of the underdoped $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{6+x}$.

4. *Stripes versus Fermi-liquid*

Fermi-liquid theory, based on the premise that electron correlation effects in the metallic state of matter correspond to the noninteracting “quasiparticles” on a Fermi surface, has been successful in solving many of the important problems in condensed-matter physics, including conventional superconductivity. In the case of copper-oxides, where high- T_c superconductivity is obtained by

FIG. 29: The resonance energy as a function of T_c for samples used in this study. The horizontal error bars are the superconducting transition widths.

at the same wave vector [46]. The analysis of the elastic magnetic SDW peaks and their corresponding charge-ordering peaks associated with the modulation of the underlying lattice indicate the presence of a static striped phase in $\text{La}_{1.88-y}\text{Nd}_y\text{Sr}_{0.12}\text{CuO}_4$. This strongly suggests that the incommensurate fluctuations in superconducting $\text{La}_{2-x}\text{Sr}_x\text{CuO}_4$ are a fluctuating version (dynamic) of the stripes.

However, if the stripe picture is universal for all high- T_c superconductors, it should also be able to explain the incommensurate spin fluctuations of $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{6+x}$. In the case of $\text{La}_{2-x}\text{Sr}_x\text{CuO}_4$, the incommensurability saturates at “1/8”. Whereas the incommensurability of the low-energy spin fluctuations in $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{6+x}$ follows the expected linear behavior as a function of T_c for low doping materials [8] but abruptly saturates at “1/10” for $x \geq 0.6$. This could mean that the minimum stripe spacing in $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{6+x}$ is five unit cells compared to four in $\text{La}_{2-x}\text{Sr}_x\text{CuO}_4$ [46]. However, the reduction of the incommensurability with increasing energy close to the resonance in $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{6+x}$, first reported by Arai *et al.* [25] and subsequently confirmed by Bourges *et al.* [51] in underdoped compounds, is different from the energy independent incommensurability of the low-frequency spin fluctuations in $\text{La}_{2-x}\text{Sr}_x\text{CuO}_4$ [6]. In addition, the incommensurate fluctuations in $\text{La}_{2-x}\text{Sr}_x\text{CuO}_4$ are observed at temperatures well above T_c [49]. Such fluctuations in $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{6+x}$ are present above T_c for under-

FIG. 30: The ratio between the peak intensity of incommensurate spin fluctuations and the resonance as a function of effective hole concentration, p , for $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{6+x}$. The intensity of incommensurate spin fluctuations is at $\hbar\omega = 24$ meV for $x = 0.45, 0.5$, and 0.6 , at 32 meV for $x = 0.8$, and at 34 meV for $x = 0.95$. The solid lines are guides to the eye.

doped compounds, but for highly doped materials they are only found close to the resonance in the superconducting state.

At present, it remains unclear how to explain the observed behavior differences in these two families of materials from a stripe model. In particular, the saturation of the incommensurability at $\delta = 1/10$ for effective hole concentration $p \geq 0.1$ (Fig. 24) is puzzling. Assuming that the incommensurate spin fluctuations in $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{6+x}$ at all doping levels are due to dynamic stripes composed of the hole-rich metallic regions separated by the antiphase insulating domains [46], one would expect the growth of the metallic stripes with increasing doping at the expense of the insulating domains [69, 70, 71, 72]. As a consequence, the incommensurability should increase with increasing hole doping which is in contrast to the observation of a saturating δ for $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{6+x}$ with $x \geq 0.6$.

Although we have emphasized the differences, the incommensurate spin fluctuations for these two classes of materials also have remarkable similarities in their structure and doping dependence for oxygen concentrations $x \leq 0.6$ ($p \leq 0.1$). These similarities and the one-dimensional nature of the incommensurate spin fluctuations in underdoped $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{6.6}$ [47] and the associ-

ated phonon anomaly [85] are consistent with the stripe formation in $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{6+x}$ for effective hole doping below 0.1. To truly understand the microscopic origin of the incommensurate spin fluctuations in different cuprates using a stripe model, perhaps one needs to first explain the presence of resonance in the bilayer compounds, which takes much of the weight of the total magnetic scattering, and its absence in the single-layer materials [47]. For highly doped materials, the incommensurate spin fluctuations in $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{6+x}$ appears to be intimately related to the resonance as they occur only at energies very close to the resonance. From the magnetic field dependence of the spin excitations [68], it is clear that the resonance measures the pairing and long-range phase coherence. Thus, if a stripe model can explain the resonance, it may also be able to account for the differences in the incommensurability in $\text{La}_{2-x}\text{Sr}_x\text{CuO}_4$ and $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{6+x}$.

Alternatively, assuming that the observed incommensurate fluctuations in $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{6+x}$ come from a nested Fermi surface [81, 82, 83, 84], one may ask whether such models can predict the observed intensity and doping dependent behavior. Unfortunately, there are no explicit predictions about the doping dependence of the incommensurability and its relationship with the resonance from a nested Fermi surface model that can be directly compared with our experiments. Therefore, it is still not clear whether the observed incommensurate fluctuations in $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{6+x}$ are consistent with the Fermi-liquid description at all doping levels. In particular, the abrupt saturation of the incommensurability for $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{6+x}$ with $x \geq 0.6$ suggests that the physics accounting for this behavior may have a different microscopic origin from that of the lower doping compounds.

5. Models for the resonance

Since the discovery of the resonance in highly doped and underdoped $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{6+x}$ [12, 14, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21], many fundamentally different microscopic mechanisms have been proposed to explain its origin. In the earlier theoretical studies [86, 87, 88, 89], the resonance is interpreted as the consequence of the d -wave gap symmetry of the cuprate superconductors. Subsequent workers have noticed that d -wave superconductivity alone is insufficient to explain the resonance, and that very strong Coulomb correlations among electrons must also play an important role. In some models, the band structure anomaly effects alone can produce a peak in spin susceptibility that grows with the opening of the superconducting gap [90, 91, 92], while other workers have applied a random-phase approximation (RPA) to treat the effects of strong Coulomb correlations with the Lindhard function appropriate for either a Fermi liquid [93, 94, 95, 96] or a spin-charge separated metal [81, 97, 98]. In particular, the resonance has been treated as a disordered magnon-like collective mode in the particle-hole channel

whose energy is bound by the superconducting energy gap [82, 99, 100]. Using a completely different approach [101], the resonance is viewed as a pseudo-Goldstone boson mode in the particle-particle channel instead of the more conventional particle-hole channel. In the framework of this theory, antiferromagnetism and d -wave superconductivity in copper oxides are treated in equal footing [102], and resonance is directly responsible for the superconducting condensation energy [61].

While the purpose of this article is not to review the similarities and differences among various models of the resonance, we wish to make several general remarks in light of the results described here and in recent field-dependent studies of the resonance [68]. First, it is now clear that the incommensurability and the resonance are inseparable parts of the general features of the spin dynamics in $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{6+x}$ at all dopings. Thus any microscopic model used to describe the resonance must also be able to explain the doping and energy dependence of the incommensurate spin fluctuations. While current theoretical models do not provide explicit predictions that can be directly compared our experiments [103], it is hoped that the work described here will stimulate future theoretical efforts in this direction. The remarkable field dependence of the resonance [68] indicates that it measures the superconducting pairing and phase coherence. In particular, the larger c -axis field effect is difficult to understand within the framework of the interlayer tunneling theory, according to which the resonance should be strongly affected by the in-plane field that disrupts the coherent Josephson coupling along c -axis [92]. On the other hand, the field data [68] are consistent with mechanism where the dominant loss of entropy on entering the superconducting state is due to the growth of magnetic correlations in the CuO_2 planes [60, 61, 62]. Finally, if the resonance is a magnon-like collective mode [82, 99, 100], it must not be a spin-wave in the conventional sense as the intensity of spin-wave excitations in ferromagnets and antiferromagnets should be magnetic field independent for the relatively small fields used [38, 68].

IV. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

In this article, we have described in detail the extensive polarized and unpolarized neutron measurements of the dynamical magnetic susceptibility $\chi''(\mathbf{q}, \omega)$ of superconducting $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{6+x}$ above and below the transition temperature T_c . Using a careful polarization and temperature dependence analysis, we find that the resonance and incommensurate spin fluctuations are general features of the spin dynamical behavior in $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{6+x}$ for all oxygen doping levels. We establish the doping de-

pendence of the incommensurability, the resonance, and the superconducting spin-gap energy. In the superconducting state, we find that the spin-gap energy is proportional to $k_B T_c$, but the resonance energy itself deviates from the linear behavior with $k_B T_c$ for oxygen doping close to the optimal values. Similarly, we show that the doping dependence of the incommensurability saturates to $\delta = 0.1$ for oxygen doping $x \geq 0.6$. We compare the observed behaviors in the two most studied superconducting copper-oxides $\text{La}_{2-x}\text{Sr}_x\text{CuO}_4$ and $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{6+x}$ and discuss their similarities and differences. We stress that any comprehensive theoretical understanding of the spin dynamical behavior in these two families of materials must take into account the fact that the resonance so clearly seen in the bilayer $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{6+x}$ is absent in the single-layer $\text{La}_{2-x}\text{Sr}_x\text{CuO}_4$. For underdoped $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{6+x}$, the evolution of the resonance as a function of doping is illustrated. In particular, we find the enhancement of the susceptibility at the resonance energy above T_c for all underdoped compounds including $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{6.8}$. If we define the “resonance” as the enhancement of the susceptibility in a small energy range of the magnetic excitations spectra as a function of decreasing temperature, it is natural to associate the susceptibility gain around the resonance energy above T_c in the underdoped compounds as the precursor of the resonance. This assertion is supported by the systematic studies of the evolution of the temperature dependence of the resonance that show the progressively larger pretransitional regime above T_c for more underdoped $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{6+x}$ [27]. Furthermore, the resonance and incommensurate fluctuations appear to be intimately connected. Thus, any microscopic model for the magnetic fluctuations in $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{6+x}$ must be able to account for both the incommensurate spin fluctuations and the resonance.

Acknowledgments

We are grateful to G. Aeppli, S. Chakravarty, T. Egami, V. J. Emery, S. M. Hayden, S. A. Kivelson, D. K. Morr, D. Pines, D. J. Scalapino, J. Zaanen, and S. C. Zhang for many enlightening discussions. We also wish to thank J. A. Fernandez-Baca, Jiandi Zhang, and S. C. Zhang for a critical reading of the manuscript, to thank J. R. Thompson and K. J. Song for measuring the superconducting transition temperatures for all the samples discussed in this article, and to thank B. C. Chakoumakos and T. B. Lindemer for allowing the use of their unpublished data. Finally, we acknowledge much technical support over the years by R. G. Maple, S. A. Moore, G. B. Taylor, and C. M. Redmon. This work was supported by U.S. DOE under contract DE-AC05-00OR22725 with UT-Battelle, LLC.

[1] D. Vaknin, S. K. Sinha, D. E. Moncton, D. C. Johnston, J. M. Newsam, C. R. Safinya, and H. E. King, Jr., Phys.

- [2] J. M. Tranquada, D. E. Cox, W. Kunnmann, H. Moudén, G. Shirane, M. Suenaga, P. Zolliker, D. Vaknin, S. K. Sinha, M. S. Alvarez, A. J. Jacobson, and D. C. Jonhston, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **60**, 156 (1988).
- [3] H. Yoshizawa, S. Mitsuda, H. Kitazawa, and K. Katsumata, *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.*, **57**, 3686 (1988).
- [4] R. J. Birgeneau, Y. Endoh, Y. Hidaka, K. Kakurai, M. A. Kastner, T. Murakami, G. Shirane, T. R. Thurston, and K. Yamada, *Phys. Rev. B* **39**, 2868 (1989).
- [5] S-W. Cheong, G. Aeppli, T. E. Mason, H. A. Mook, S. M. Hayden, P. C. Canfield, Z. Fisk, K. N. Clausen, and J. L. Martinez, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **67**, 1791 (1991).
- [6] T. E. Mason, G. Aeppli, and H. A. Mook, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **68**, 1414 (1992).
- [7] T. R. Thurston, P. M. Gehring, G. Shirane, R. J. Birgeneau, M. A. Kastner, Y. Endoh, M. Matsuda, K. Yamada, H. Kojima, and I. Tanaka, *Phys. Rev. B* **46**, 9128 (1992).
- [8] K. Yamada, C. H. Lee, K. Kurahashi, J. Wada, S. Wakimoto, S. Ueki, H. Kimura, Y. Endoh, S. Hosoya, G. Shirane, R. J. Birgeneau, M. Greven, M. A. Kastner, and Y. J. Kim, *Phys. Rev. B* **57**, 6165 (1998).
- [9] K. Yamada, S. Wakimoto, G. Shirane, C. H. Lee, M. A. Kastner, S. Hosoya, M. Greven, Y. Endoh, and R. J. Birgeneau, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **75**, 1626 (1995).
- [10] B. Lake, G. Aeppli, T. E. Mason, A. Schroder, D. F. McMorrow, K. Lefmann, M. Isshiki, M. Nohara, H. Takagi, and S. M. Hayden, *Nature (London)* **400**, 43 (1999).
- [11] For a review, see M. A. Kastner, R. J. Birgeneau, G. Shirane, and Y. Endoh, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **70**, 897 (1998).
- [12] J. Rossat-Mignod, L. P. Regnault, C. Vettier, P. Bourges, P. Bulet, J. Bossy, J. Y. Henry, and G. Laperot, *Physica (Amsterdam)* **185C**, 86 (1991).
- [13] J. M. Tranquada, P. M. Gehring, G. Shirane, S. Shamoto, and M. Sato, *Phys. Rev. B* **46**, 5561 (1992).
- [14] H. A. Mook, M. Yethiraj, G. Aeppli, T. E. Mason, and T. Armstrong, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **70**, 3490 (1993).
- [15] B. J. Sternlieb, J. M. Tranquada, G. Shirane, M. Sato, and S. Shamoto, *Phys. Rev. B* **50**, 12915 (1994).
- [16] H. F. Fong, B. Keimer, P. W. Anderson, D. Reznik, F. Doğan, and I. A. Aksay, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **75**, 316 (1995).
- [17] P. Bourges, L. P. Regnault, Y. Sidis, and C. Vettier, *Phys. Rev. B* **53**, 876 (1996).
- [18] H. F. Fong, B. Keimer, D. Reznik, D. M. Milius, and I. A. Aksay, *Phys. Rev. B* **54**, 6708 (1996).
- [19] P. Dai, M. Yethiraj, H. A. Mook, T. B. Lindemer, and F. Doğan, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **77**, 5425 (1996).
- [20] H. F. Fong, B. Keimer, F. Doğan, and I. A. Aksay, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **78**, 713 (1997).
- [21] P. Bourges, H. F. Fong, L. P. Regnault, J. Bossy, C. Vettier, D. L. Milius, I. A. Aksay, and B. Keimer, *Phys. Rev. B* **56**, R11439 (1997).
- [22] P. Dai, H. A. Mook, and F. Doğan, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **80**, 1738 (1998).
- [23] H. A. Mook, P. Dai, R. D. Hunt, and F. Doğan, *J. Phys. Chem. Solids* **59**, 2140 (1998).
- [24] H. A. Mook, P. Dai, S. M. Hayden, G. Aeppli, T. G. Perring, and F. Doğan, *Nature (London)* **395**, 580 (1998).
- [25] M. Arai, T. Nishijima, Y. Endoh, T. Egami, S. Tajima, K. Tomimoto, Y. Shiohara, M. Takahashi, A. Garret, and S. M. Bennington, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **83**, 608 (1999).
- [26] S. M. Hayden, G. Aeppli, P. Dai, H. A. Mook, T. G. Perring, S-W. Cheong, Z. Fisk, F. Doğan, and T. E. Mason, *Physica B* **241-243**, 765 (1998).
- [27] P. Dai, H. A. Mook, S. M. Hayden, G. Aeppli, T. G. Perring, R. D. Hunt, and F. Doğan, *Science* **284**, 1344 (1999).
- [28] D. J. Scalapino, *Science* **284**, 1282 (1999).
- [29] To facilitate the comparison of the results between $\text{La}_{2-x}\text{Sr}_x\text{CuO}_4$ and $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{6+x}$, we adopted the definition of incommensurability δ used in [9]. Note that this definition of incommensurability δ is different (by a factor of 2) from that in [24].
- [30] C. Michel and B. Raveau, *J. Solid State Chem.* **43**, 73 (1982).
- [31] R. Gopalan, T. Rajasekharan, T. Roy, G. Rangarajan, V. Ganesan, and R. Srinivasan, *J. Mater. Res.* **11**, 2406 (1996).
- [32] T. B. Lindemer, (unpublished).
- [33] T. B. Lindemer, J. F. Hunley, J. E. Gates, A. L. Sutton, J. Brynestad, C. R. Hubbard, P. K. Gallagher, *J. Am. Ceram. Soc.* **72**, 1775 (1989).
- [34] P. Dai, J. Zhang, H. A. Mook, S.-H. Liou, P. A. Dowben, and E. W. Plummer, *Phys. Rev. B* **54**, R3694 (1996).
- [35] J. D. Jorgensen, B. W. Veal, A. P. Paulikas, L. J. Nowicki, G. W. Grabtree, H. Claus, and W. K. Kwok, *Phys. Rev. B* **41**, 1863 (1990).
- [36] E. Altendorf, X. K. Chen, J. C. Irwin, R. Liang, and W. N. Hardy, *Phys. Rev. B* **47**, 8140 (1993).
- [37] B. C. Chakoumakos and T. B. Lindemer, (unpublished work).
- [38] S. W. Lovesey, in *Theory of thermal neutron scattering from condensed matter* (Clarendon, Oxford, 1984), Vol. 2.
- [39] R. M. Moon, T. Riste, and W. C. Koehler, *Phys. Rev.* **181**, 920 (1969).
- [40] Strictly speaking, this equation is only true if the efficiency of the Mezei coil is 100%.
- [41] S. M. Hayden, G. Aeppli, T. G. Perring, H. A. Mook, F. Doğan, *Phys. Rev. B* **54**, R6905 (1996).
- [42] D. Reznik, P. Bourges, H. F. Fong, L. P. Regnault, J. Bossy, C. Vettier, D. L. Milius, I. A. Aksay, and B. Keimer, *Phys. Rev. B* **53**, R14741 (1996).
- [43] A. V. Balatsky and P. Bourges, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **82**, 5337 (1999).
- [44] Although there is also a spin pseudogap in the normal state of $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{6+x}$, we did not systematically investigate its temperature and doping dependence because the difficulty in separating the low-energy magnetic scattering from phonons and other spurious processes.
- [45] J. L. Tallon, C. Bernhard, H. Shaked, R. L. Hitterman, and J. D. Jorgensen, *Phys. Rev. B* **51**, 12911 (1995).
- [46] J. M. Tranquada, B. J. Sternlieb, J. D. Axe, Y. Nakamura, and S. Uchida, *Nature (London)* **375**, 561 (1995); J. M. Tranquada, J. D. Axe, N. Ichikawa, Y. Nakamura, S. Uchida, and B. Nachumi, *Phys. Rev. B* **54**, 7489 (1996).
- [47] H. A. Mook, P. Dai, F. Doğan, and R. D. Hunt, *Nature (London)* **404**, 729 (2000).
- [48] Although one expects incommensurate spin fluctuations at this doping level, detection of explicit incommensurability may require samples to have single grain with narrow mosaic spread. The mosaic of $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{6.5}$ is considerably larger than other crystals in this study. As a consequence, we only observe broad flattish top peak around (π, π) at $\hbar\omega = 24$ meV [Fig. 8(c)]. The in-

- commensurability was determined by fitting the 24 meV profile with a pair of Gaussian peaks separated by δ rlu from (π, π) .
- [49] G. Aeppli, T. E. Mason, S. M. Hayden, H. A. Mook, and J. Kulda, *Science* **278**, 1432 (1997).
 - [50] Although we have demonstrated the clear presence incommensurate spin fluctuations for $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{6+x}$ with $x = 0.45, 0.6, 0.7, 0.8$, and 0.95 , no explicit incommensurability is observed for our $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{6.5}$ sample and the clarity of incommensurate spin fluctuations appears to be sample dependent. At present, we do not understand the microscopic origin for such behavior. Perhaps the detailed incommensurate structure is very sensitive to the oxygen uniformity of the material.
 - [51] P. Bourges, Y. Sidis, H. F. Fong, L. P. Regnault, J. Bossy, A. Ivanov, and B. Keimer, *Science* **288**, 1234 (2000).
 - [52] S. Uchida, T. Ido, H. Takai, T. Arima, Y. Tokura, and S. Tajima, *Phys. Rev. B* **43**, 7942 (1991).
 - [53] T. Ito, K. Takenaka, S. Uchida, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **70**, 3995 (1993).
 - [54] B. Wuyts, V. V. Moshchalkov, Y. Bruynseraede, *Phys. Rev. B* **53**, 9418 (1996).
 - [55] M. Takigawa, A. P. Reyes, P. C. Hammel, J. D. Thompson, R. H. Heffner, Z. Fisk, and K. C. Ott, *Phys. Rev. B* **43**, 247 (1991); H. Alloul, A. Mahajan, H. Casalta, and O. Klein, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **70**, 1171 (1993); M. Horvatić, T. Auler, C. Berthier, Y. Berthier, P. Butaud, W. G. Clark, J. A. Gillet, P. Ségransan, and J. Y. Henry, *Phys. Rev. B* **47**, 3461 (1993).
 - [56] H. F. Fong, P. Bourges, Y. Sidis, L. P. Regnault, J. Bossy, A. Ivanov, D. L. Milius, I. A. Aksay, and B. Keimer, *Phys. Rev. B* **61**, 14733 (2000).
 - [57] P. Bourges, B. Keimer, L. P. Regnault, and Y. Sidis, cond-mat/0006085 (unpublished).
 - [58] P. Bourges, in *The gap Symmetry and Fluctuations in High Temperature Superconductors*, Edited by J. Bok, G. Deutscher, D. Pavuna, and S. A. Wolf (Plenum Press, 1998) p349.
 - [59] J. W. Loram, K. A. Mirza, J. R. Cooper, and W. Y. Liang, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **71**, 1740 (1993).
 - [60] D. J. Scalapino and S. R. White, *Phys. Rev. B* **58**, 8222 (1998).
 - [61] E. Demler and S. C. Zhang, *Nature (London)* **396**, 733 (1998).
 - [62] S. Chakravarty and H. Y. Kee, *Phys. Rev. B* **61**, 14 821 (2000).
 - [63] M. R. Norman, M. Randeria, B. Jankó, and J. C. Campuzano, *Phys. Rev. B* **61**, 14742 (2000).
 - [64] B. Jankó, cond-mat/9912073 (unpublished).
 - [65] J. R. Shrieffer, *Theory of Superconductivity*, (Benjamin, New York, 1964).
 - [66] A. Junod, in *Studies of high temperature superconductors*, Vol. 19, Ed. A. V. Narlikar, Nova Science Publishers, N. Y. 1996, page 1.
 - [67] A. Junod, A. Erb, and Ch. Renner, *Physica C* **317**, 333 (1999).
 - [68] P. Dai, H. A. Mook, G. Aeppli, S. M. Hayden, and F. Doğan, *Nature (London)* **406**, 965 (2000).
 - [69] J. Zaanen and O. Gunnarson, *Phys. Rev. B* **40**, 7391 (1989).
 - [70] H. J. Schulz, *J. Phys. (Paris)* **50**, 2833 (1989).
 - [71] D. Poilblanc, and T. M. Rice, *Phys. Rev. B* **39**, 9749 (1989).
 - [72] S. A. Kivelson and V. J. Emery, in *Strongly correlated electronic materials: the Los Alamos symposium 1993* (eds K. S. Bedell *et al.*, Addison-Wesley, Maine, 1994), p 619.
 - [73] For a recent review on advances in the physics of high- T_c superconductivity, see J. Orenstein and A. J. Millis, *Science* **288**, 468 (2000).
 - [74] J. Zaanen, *J. Phys. Chem. Solids* **59**, 1769 (1998).
 - [75] V. J. Emery, S. A. Kivelson, and O. Zahar, *Phys. Rev. B* **56**, 6120 (1997).
 - [76] S. R. White and D. J. Scalapino, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **80**, 1272 (1998).
 - [77] G. B. Martins, C. Gazza, J. C. Xavier, A. Feiguin, and E. Dagotto, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **84**, 5844 (2000).
 - [78] B. Bulut, D. Hone, D. J. Scalapino, and N. E. Bickers, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **64**, 2466 (1990).
 - [79] Q. M. Si, Y. Zha, K. Levin, and J. P. Lu, *Phys. Rev. B* **47**, 9055 (1993); Y. Zha, K. Levin, and Q. M. Si, *Phys. Rev. B* **47**, 9124 (1993).
 - [80] P. B. Littlewood, J. Zaanen, G. Aeppli, and H. Monien, *Phys. Rev. B* **48**, 487 (1993).
 - [81] J. Brinckmann, and P. A. Lee, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **82**, 2915 (1999).
 - [82] D. K. Morr and D. Pines, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **81**, 1086 (1998); *Phys. Rev. B* **61**, 6483 (2000).
 - [83] Y. J. Kao, Q. Si, and K. Levin, *Phys. Rev. B* **61**, 11898 (2000).
 - [84] M. R. Norman, *Phys. Rev. B* **61**, 14751 (2000).
 - [85] H. A. Mook and F. Doğan, *Nature (London)* **401**, 145 (1999).
 - [86] K. Maki and H. Won, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **72**, 1758 (1994).
 - [87] M. J. Lercher and J. M. Wheatley, *Phys. Rev. B* **49**, 736 (1994).
 - [88] M. Lavagna and G. Stemmman, *Phys. Rev. B* **49**, 4235 (1994); G. Stemmman, C. Pépin, and M. Lavagna, *Phys. Rev. B* **50**, 4075 (1994).
 - [89] F. Onufrieva and J. Rossat-Mignod, *Phys. Rev. B* **52**, 7572 (1995).
 - [90] G. Blumberg, B. P. Stojkovic, and M. V. Klein, *Phys. Rev. B* **52**, 15741 (1995).
 - [91] A. A. Abrikosov, *Phys. Rev. B* **57**, 8656 (1998).
 - [92] Lan Yin, S. Chakravarty, and P. W. Anderson, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **78**, 3559 (1997).
 - [93] N. Bulut and D. J. Scalapino, *Phys. Rev. B* **53**, 5149 (1996).
 - [94] D. Z. Liu, Y. Zha, and K. Levin, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **75**, 4130 (1995).
 - [95] I. I. Mazin and V. M. Yakovenko, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **75**, 4134 (1995).
 - [96] A. J. Millis and H. Monien, *Phys. Rev. B* **54**, 16172 (1996).
 - [97] T. Tanamoto, H. Kohno, and H. Fukuyama, *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.* **61** 1886 (1992).
 - [98] B. Normand, H. Kohno, and H. Fukuyama, *J. Phys. Jpn.* **64**, 3903 (1995).
 - [99] A. Abanov and A. V. Chubukov, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **83**, 1652 (1999).
 - [100] S. Sachdev, C. Buragohain, and M. Vojta, *Science* **286**, 2479 (1999).
 - [101] E. Demler and S. C. Zhang, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **75**, 4126 (1995); E. Demler, H. Kohno, and S. C. Zhang, *Phys. Rev. B* **58**, 5719 (1998).
 - [102] S. C. Zhang, *Science* **275**, 1089 (1997).
 - [103] After the completion of this article, we noted a theo-

retical attempt to reconcile the differences between spin fluctuations in $\text{La}_{2-x}\text{Sr}_x\text{CuO}_4$ and $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{6+x}$. K. K. Voo, H. Y. Chen, and W. C. Wu, cond-mat/0006312

(unpublished).